

Magnolia School District

2705 W. Orange Ave. • Anaheim, California 92804-3298 • (714) 761-5533 • Fax (714) 826-8563

ADMINISTRATION

Ellen C. Curtin, Ed.D.
Superintendent

Alejandro Flores
*Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources &
Technology*

Kevin Smith
Chief Business Officer

Hanan Thornton
*Assistant Superintendent
Educational Services*

Annette Cleveland
*Executive Director
Special Education &
Student Services*

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Nathan Zug
President

Esther Wallace
Clerk

Clifford Breeden, Jr.
Member

Barbara Clendineng
Member

Barbara Quintana
Member

June 4, 2013

The Honorable Thomas J. Borris
Presiding Judge
Orange County Superior Court
700 Civic Center Drive West
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Re: Magnolia School District's Status Confirmation to the 9/18/12 Response to the Orange County Grand Jury Report, "Anti-Bullying Programs in Orange County Schools"

To the Honorable Thomas J. Borris:

Magnolia School District ("District") is confirming in this letter, our status to Findings 1-4, Recommendations 1-3, 6-7 in the 2011-12 Orange County Grand Jury Report entitled, "Anti-Bullying Programs in Orange County Schools"¹, and our response to the findings.

Finding 1: Not all Orange County schools use the same technology, procedures and codes to record bullying or harassment incidents.

Response to Finding 1: The District continues to partially agree with this finding. The District agrees that not all Orange County schools use the same technology and procedures to record bullying or harassment incidents. Different districts use different databases and internal procedures to track bullying complaints. With regard to the codes used to record bullying or harassment incidents, prior to July 1, 2012, Education Code section 48900(r) defined bullying by reference to sexual harassment (Education Code section 48900.2), hate violence (Education Code section 48900.3), and harassment, threats and intimidation (Education Code section 48900.4). The law did not contain a stand-alone definition of bullying. However, as of July 1, 2012, Education Code section 48900(r) provides a stand-alone definition of the term "bullying." (AB 1156.) School districts throughout California are bound by this statute.

Finding 2: New legislation takes effect July 1, 2012, and broadens the definition of "Bullying."

Response to Finding 2: The District continues to agree that AB 1156 provides a broader definition of "bullying" than was contained in prior law.

Finding 3: Education Code section 234.1 requiring posting of anti-bullying/anti-harassment policies in prescribed areas was not evident in all schools visited.

¹ The Orange County Superintendent of Schools has been directed to respond to Recommendations 4 and 5.

Response to Finding 3: The District cannot comment on what policies are posted in all schools throughout Orange County, but can respond only on behalf of the District itself. Finding 3 combines the requirement to post anti-discrimination/anti-harassment policies in prescribed areas with the requirement to post anti-bullying policies. The requirement for school districts to post their anti-bullying policy in all schools and offices, including staff lounges and pupil government meeting rooms, is new as of July 1, 2012.² Thus, the District continues to disagree with Finding 3 to the extent it reflects on the District's practice in regard to posting its anti-bullying policy in prescribed areas prior to July 1, 2012.

In regard to the finding that the District failed to post its anti-harassment policies in prescribed areas, the District agrees with this finding. Magnolia School District developed an anti-discrimination and anti-harassment notice with information about the district's policy. The notice was posted in all schools, offices, and staff lounges by September 30, 2012.

Finding 4: Based on witness testimony, confidentiality was not maintained in a bullying incident as prescribed in California Education Code section 234.1

Response to Finding 4: The Grand Jury report does not contain sufficient information to enable the District to respond to this finding nor does it identify which school district was involved in the alleged breach of confidentiality; therefore, the District continues to disagree wholly with this finding.

Recommendation 1: Recommend a county-wide compatible information system for reporting incidents of bullying be explored by all school districts.

Response to Recommendation 1: This recommendation cannot be implemented because it is not warranted and is not reasonable. The District tracks incidents of bullying as follows: Every bullying incident is entered in our district-wide AERIES system. School administrators and district level staff are trained to enter this information in AERIES. Schools also track student behavior and bullying incidents through a site-level software system in order to implement Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports (PBIS). The district's superintendent designee reviews the records in AERIES and tracks complaints of discrimination. Different districts use different technology and procedures that reflect the unique needs of each district. While all districts will comply with State-mandated reporting, such as the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS), it is not necessary that each district use the same internal procedures and technology to track reported incidents of bullying.

Recommendation 2: Recommend all county-wide schools agree upon the same definition of bullying.

Response to Recommendation 2: The District cannot implement this policy because it is not warranted and is not reasonable. The District has no legal authority to obligate other school districts. However, it should be noted that the District is required by law to apply the definition of "bullying" contained in Education Code section 48900(r) in suspending and expelling students. The District currently use this definition of "bullying" in tracking complaints of bullying that are sustained.

Recommendation 3: Each district review standardized procedures to protect a bully victim and bystanders' confidentiality as stated in Education Code section 234.1

Response to Recommendation 3: The District implemented this recommendation by September 30, 2012. The District has adopted policies in regard to retaliation and confidentiality. Those policies state: "The Board acknowledges and respects individual's rights to privacy. Complaints shall be investigated in

a manner that protects the confidentiality of the parties and the integrity of the process. This includes keeping the complainant confidential, as appropriate and except to the extent necessary to carry out the investigation or proceedings, as determined by the Superintendent or designee on a case-by-case basis. The Board prohibits retaliation in any form against any complainant in the complaint process, including but not limited to a complainant's filing of a complaint or the reporting of instances of discrimination. Such participation shall not in any way affect the status, grades, or work assignments of the complainant". The District reminds its employees of these policies as follows: The district's policy is posted in schools, offices, and staff lounges. The policy is included in the district's employee Mandated Notifications Handbook. District employees received training on the adopted policy.

Recommendation 6: Recommend each district explore the development of a county-wide standard information system for recording incidents of bullying.

Response to Recommendation 6: This recommendation was not implemented because it is not warranted and is not reasonable. The District tracks incidents of bullying as follows: Every bullying incident is entered in the district-wide AERIES system. School administrators and district level staff are trained to enter this information in AERIES. Schools also track student behavior and bullying incidents through a site-level software system in order to implement Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports (PBIS). The district's superintendent designee reviews the records in AERIES and tracks bullying incidents. Different districts use different technology and procedures that reflect the unique needs of each district. While all districts will comply with State-mandated reporting, such as the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS), it is not necessary that each district use the same internal procedures and technology to track reported incidents of bullying.

Recommendation 7: All schools post anti-bullying/anti-harassment policy in offices, staff lounges and student government meeting areas as prescribed in Education Code section 234.1

Response to Recommendation 7: The District implemented this recommendation by September 30, 2012. The district posts anti-bullying/anti-harassment policy in offices and staff lounges. School staff received mandated employee notification on the district's requirement that school staff who witness bullying shall immediately intervene to stop the incident when it is safe to do so.

If you have any further questions or need additional information, please contact Hanan Thornton at (714) 761-5533.

Sincerely,



Dr. Ellen Curtin
Superintendent

EC:MP:pr

cc: Orange County Grand Jury
700 Civic Center Drive West
Santa Ana, CA 92701