

James D. Ruth Interim County Executive Officer March 19, 2003

Carlos N. Olvera, Foreman FY 02/03 Grand Jury Superior Court of California 700 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92702

Subject:

Response to Orange County Grand Jury Report, "Tracking the

Implementation of Grand Jury Recommendations"

Dear Mr. Olvera:

Per your request, and in accordance with Penal Code 993, enclosed please find the County of Orange response to the subject report as approved by the Board of Supervisors. If you have any questions, please contact Frank Kim at the County Executive Office who will either assist you or direct you to the appropriate individual.

Respectfully,

James D. Ruth, Interim County Executive Officer

County Executive Office 10 Civic Center Plaza Third Ploor Santa Ana, California 92701-4062

Tel: (714) 834-2345 Fax: (714) 834-4416 Web: www.oc.ca.gov

AT TO SERVICE A SERVICE AS A SE			AGEN	ICY/DEPT. USE	CLER	K USE ONLY	
OF STATE OF				EO REVIEW			
AGENDA IT	EM TRANSA	MITTAL	Co	ncur			
CONSEA	ı⊤ ⊡		Do	Not Concur			
CONSENT			Exe	empt			
DISCUS							
PUBLIC	HEARING $\Box$						
TO: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF ORANGE			CONTACT FOR INFORMATION (NAME AND PHONE)				
FROM: County Executive Office			County Executive Office: Frank Kim 834-3028				
MEETING DATE February 11, 2003	Proposed Response the Implementation of	to the FY 2002/200		Jury Report Entitled "Tracking ons"  SUPV. DIST.  ALL			
SUMMARY OF REQUEST (Descr Approve proposed response Grand Jur y Recommendation	to the FY 2002/200	)3 Grand Jury R	eport ent	itled "Tracking th	ne Impleme	entation of	
ADDITIONAL DATA: In Novem Recommendations". This re Office (CEO). As the govern respond to the Presiding Judg response to Finding 1 and Re	eport directs Finding ning body for the C ge of the Superior C	g 1 and Recomr county Executive Court on their be	nendation Office,	ns 1 through 3 to the Board of Supe	the County ervisors is	Executive required to	
PREVIOUS RELEVANT BOARD A None.	ACTIONS ON THIS SP	PECIFIC ITEM:					
FUNDING SOURCE(S) N/A	CURRENT YEAR COST N/A		ANNUAL COST N/A		BUDGETED?YES _ NO N/A		
WILL PROPOSAL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL?				CONSISTENT WIT	/ITH BOARD POLICY?		
NO IF YES, STATE NUMBER PERMANENT LIMITED T				YES NEW ITEM OR EXCEPTION			
RECOMMENDED ACTION  1. Approve response to 2. Direct the Clerk of th Court and Foreman o	ne Board to forward of the Grand Jury.	this AIT with A					
CONCURRENCES (If Applicable)  ATTACHMENTS  1. Orange County Response to FY 2002/2003 Grand Jury Report entitled, "Tracking the Implementation of Grand Jury Recommendations".  2. Tracking Report for Grand Jury Recommendations from F 1999/2000 through FY 2001/2002.  3. FY 2002/2003 Grand Jury Report, "Tracking the Implementation of Grand Jury Recommendations".							
Gary C. Burton		 Date		William D. Mahone	у		

Chief Financial Officer

Acting County Executive Officer

## 2002-2003 Orange County Grand Jury Report Tracking the Implementation of Grand Jury Recommendations

#### **Response to Findings**

1. Currently, no procedure within the County Executive Office tracks, monitors or reports the implementation status of open Grand Jury report findings and recommendation responses.

# Response to Finding #1: Agrees with finding.

Following up on the findings and the recommendations from prior year Grand Jury reports is an important function of the Grand Jury and the Board of Supervisors. On July 23, 1996, at the request of the Grand Jurors Association, the Board of Supervisors gave the Association a directive and the responsibility to form an Implementation and Tracking Committee for tracking and reporting of pending or open implementation items. The program was established but due to a number of reasons internal to the Association, the committee was not able to meet its tracking responsibilities. No formal procedure currently exists for tracking open findings and recommendations.

## **Response to Recommendations**

1. The County Executive Officer review directions given in the Board of Supervisors motion of March 29, 1994, for tracking and reporting of pending or open implementation actions.

# Response to Recommendation #1: The recommendation has been implemented.

The motion carried by the Board on March 29, 1994 had the following four components:

- 1. Direct the County Administrative Officer to meet with the Grand Jury each year to discuss open items.
- 2. Direct the County Administrative Officer to provide the Grand Jury with a written report summarizing the implementation status of open items.
- 3. Direct the County Administrative Officer to provide minutes from this meeting to the Grand Jury.

4. Direct the County Administrative Officer to provide the Grand Jury with any additional requested information.

The County Executive Office will assume the responsibility of following up on prior year open implementation items and provide a written status to the sitting Grand Jury no later than March of each year. A meeting will be scheduled each year with the Grand Jury to review prior year open items. And as always, the County Executive Office is available support the Grand Jury on any information requests throughout the year.

2. The County Executive Officer provide a summary report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation items that remain open.

Response to Recommendation #2: The recommendation has been implemented.

Enclosed, as Attachment 2 is the response to prior year Grand Jury Report recommendations that required further analysis and was directed to the Board of Supervisors and County Departments.

3. The County Executive Officer prepare a detailed, written report for the Grand Jury by mid-March each year on the status of implementation items that remain open.

Response to Recommendation #3: The recommendation has been implemented.

The County Executive Office will provide a written response no later than March of each year for open implementation items from prior year Grand Jury reports.